Effect of GnRH antagonist on follicular development and uterine biophysical profile in controlled ovarian stimulation
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ABSTRACT

Background: Objective of current study was to assess the effect of GnRH antagonist on follicular development, premature luteinization, uterine biophysical profile and pregnancy rate in controlled ovarian stimulation with clomiphene and gonadotropins for intrauterine insemination in women with unexplained infertility.

Methods: Randomised controlled trial. Minimal stimulation protocol with or without GnRH antagonist was compared. Setting: Infertility clinic, PGIMER, Chandigarh. Patients: Couples with unexplained infertility, age of female partner between 20-39 years. Intervention: GnRH antagonist 0.25 mg since follicle size 14 mm till hCG administration. Main outcome measures: Follicle characteristics, premature luteinisation, uterine biophysical profile and pregnancy rate.

Results: The mean number of follicles recruited in group A was 2.32 ± 1.01 while that in group B (receiving GnRH antagonist) it was 4.10 ± 1.69. Statistically significant increase in total biophysical profile score was observed in periovulatory phase in the antagonist group. 40% women in group A had premature luteinization whereas only 4% women in group B suffered from premature luteinization. 20% women who received GnRH antagonist conceived against only 6% in group A, this difference however was not statistically significant

Conclusions: GnRH antagonist has a role in increasing the number of follicles recruited. Furthermore, GnRH antagonist can improve the total uterine biophysical profile score by improving the endometrial thickness, endometrial pattern, blood flow and decreasing the impedance to the blood flow in uterine artery. The drug can potentially help in improving pregnancy rates by decreasing the rate of premature luteinisation.
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INTRODUCTION

In women with unexplained infertility, the use of Controlled Ovarian Stimulation (COS) along with IUI results in a comparable cumulative pregnancy rate to IVF and is a more cost effective approach.1 It has been calculated that 24% of stimulated cycles may undergo premature luteinisation.2 which is unwanted and could lead to cycle cancellation and patient distress. Clomiphene and gonadotropins in combination do have an unpredictable ovarian reaction and cause premature Luteinizing Hormone (LH) surge in nearly 20% of cases.3 It has been suggested in IVF cycles that GnRH antagonist prevents premature LH surge. GnRH antagonists offer several potential advantages over agonists.4 Disorders of endometrial receptivity and implantation failure represent an important cause of unexplained infertility. Need for combining parameters in order to predict the uterine receptivity was realized as none of the individual parameters could accurately predict the same.
Michael Applebaum came up with a concept of evaluating uterine receptivity by means of a score ascertained on basis of assessment of endometrial thickness, appearance, blood flow, myometrial contractions, pulsatility index of uterine arteries, myometrial echogenicity and myometrial blood flow. This was termed as ‘uterine scoring system for reproduction’. A similar concept has been pursued for scoring by other investigators, who have proposed a uterine score as a predictor of implantation. However, current data regarding the parameters evaluating uterine receptivity remain inconclusive. The endometrial development after GnRH antagonist mimics the natural endometrium more closely than after GnRH agonist. However, till date, effect of various ovarian stimulation drugs on ‘uterine biophysical profile’ has not been evaluated in detail.

Given this background, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of GnRH antagonist on follicular characteristics, prevention of premature luteinisation, uterine biophysical profile score and pregnancy outcomes.

**METHODS**

This was a prospective randomised controlled trial performed from July 2011 to July 2012 in 100 patients with unexplained infertility in infertility clinic of department of obstetrics & gynaecology, PGIMER Chandigarh. Each patient gave written informed consent and clearance from the ethical committee of the institute was sought.

**Inclusion criteria**

Criteria for diagnosis of unexplained infertility:

- No evidence of anatomic or functional disorder of the reproductive tract on history and examination
- Age of female partner 20-39 years
- Infertility of more than one year
- Regular menstrual cycles
- Normal prolactin and thyroid function tests in early follicular phase
- Normal tubal, uterine and peritoneal anatomy determined by hysterosalpingography and laparoscopy
- Baseline FSH/LH levels within normal range
- Normal semen analysis within last 2 months

**Exclusion criteria**

Couples with any of the following factors were excluded from the study

- Known cause of infertility
- Pelvic inflammatory disease
- History of ovarian hyperstimulation with gonadotropins
- History of ovarian malignancy in the family

**Study protocol**

After thorough evaluation, counselling and taking written informed consent, 100 women with unexplained infertility were divided into two groups according to random number table who received either of two ovarian stimulation protocols:

Group A (Control group): (n=50) received minimal ovarian stimulation protocol.

Group B (Study group): (n=50) received minimal ovarian stimulation along with GnRH antagonist. In order to maintain uniformity Cetrorelix was used as GnRH antagonist in each subject.

**Ovarian stimulation protocol**

Minimal stimulation protocol was used for ovarian stimulation. To start with, 150 IU of urinary Follicular Stimulating Hormone (FSH) was administered IM on day 2 of their cycle followed by clomiphene citrate 100mg daily from day 3 to day 7. Urinary Human Menopausal Gonadotropin (HMG) 150 IU was given on day 8. TVS was performed on day 9 to assess ovarian response and was repeated as per response every 2-3 days and further more gonadotropins, if needed, were given according to the response assessed on follicular monitoring until the leading follicles reach mean diameter of ≥17 mm. Then, 5000 IU Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (HCG) was given IM and IUI was performed 36 hours later.

**Study group**

The same stimulation protocol was followed and monitored for follicular recruitment and growth. When the leading follicles reached a diameter of 14 mm, 0.25 mg cetrorelix in 0.5 ml aqueous solution was given daily till the dominant follicle size of 18 mm was achieved, subsequently human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) 5000 IU was administered to trigger ovulation and IUI was performed 36 hours later.

**Assessment of premature luteinization**

On the day of hCG administration, serum LH, progesterone and estradiol was measured in both the groups. LH level more than or equal to 10 IU/L or Progesterone more than or equal to 2 ng/ml was used for diagnosis of premature luteinization.

**Uterine biophysical profile**

All subjects were examined during one cycle. The sonographic assessment was performed by the same investigator using the same parameters so as to eliminate
any interobserver variation. Transvaginal ultrasonography was performed using a ATL-HDI 1500 ultrasound machine using 5-9 MHz transducer for B-mode and color imaging as well as pulsed Doppler analysis. Identical ultrasound and Doppler settings were used in all women. All subjects underwent the transvaginal ultrasonography in a quite location between 8 a.m. to 10 a.m. to reduce any variation due to circadian rhythm. The ultrasounds were conducted during designated phases of the cycle, baseline (day 2-3), periovulatory (day 11-13). The following morphometric and Doppler assessment of the endometrium suggested as the ‘uterine biophysical profile’ by Applebaum.\(^5\) were studied:

1. Endometrial thickness
2. Endometrial layering (pattern)
3. Blood flow in the endometrium using color Doppler technique
4. Uterine artery blood flow measured by pulsatility index
5. Myometrial echogenicity

**Table 1: Applebaum modified uterine biophysical profile score.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Baseline (d 2-3)</th>
<th>Periovulatory (d 11-13)</th>
<th>Midluteal (d 21-23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;7 mm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9 mm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14 mm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;14 mm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial layering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No layering</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazy 5 line appearance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinct 5 line appearance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperechoic thick endometrial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial blood flow within zone 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present but sparse</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present multifocally</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine artery Doppler flow evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI &gt;2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 2.2-2.49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI &lt;2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myometrial echogenicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse/irregular echogenicity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatively homogeneous echogenicity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring of each parameter was done according to the modified Applebaum score.\(^5\)

A comparison was drawn so as to find out whether the individual parameters or the scores of the uterine biophysical profile were significantly different in the group which received GnRH antagonist (cetrorelix) as compared to control group.

**Intrauterine insemination**

Semen collected in sterile container after masturbation was allowed to liquefy and then prepared by wash and swim up technique using Ham’s F10 culture medium. A 0.3 ml sample was injected into uterine cavity with a cannula, the rest of sample was injected at the external os. The patient was kept supine for 10-15 minutes.

**Luteal phase support**

From the day of intrauterine insemination, subjects were given luteal phase support in the form of micronized progesterone 200 mg vaginally till 2 weeks later when pregnancy test was performed and if the subjects became pregnant it was continued till 10 to 12 weeks.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, version 15.0 for Windows). All quantitative variables e.g. age, height, weight, type and duration of infertility were estimated using measures of central location mean, median and measures of dispersion: standard deviation and standard error. For normally distributed data means of height, weight, duration infertility of two groups were compared using student t-test. For skewed data, Mann Whitney test was applied. Qualitative or categorical variables were described as frequencies and proportions. Proportions were compared using Chi square or Fisher’s exact test whichever was applicable for two groups as well as for within groups. All statistical tests were two-sided and were performed taking P value <0.05 as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 100 patients with unexplained infertility were included in the study and were randomly allocated into either of the two groups as per the random table number. No significant difference was observed between the two groups as far as the demographic and baseline characteristics were concerned as shown in Table 2.

As shown in Table 3, the mean number of follicles in group B was 4.10 ± 1.69 which was significantly greater than that in group A [2.32 ± 1.01 (P <0.001)]. Significantly more number of ampoules of gonadotropins were required in the study group as compared to control. On an average 3 ampoules of gonadotropin (150 IU
HMG) were used in GnRH antagonist group whereas the other group required only 1 ampoule of HMG on an average (P <0.001).

Mean progesterone and LH levels on day of hCG administration was significantly more in Control group than in those receiving cetrorelix (P <0.001).

Premature luteinization occurred in 40% of women in the Control group whereas only in 4% in the Study group. None of the patients experienced severe OHSS.

The prevalence of mild OHSS was higher in the control group (4%) than in the study group (2%) though this difference was not statistically significant.

Table 2: Comparison of baseline characteristics of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (Control)</th>
<th>Group B (Study)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>29.50 ± 3.99</td>
<td>29.36 ± 3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of infertility (years)</td>
<td>6.7 ± 3.59</td>
<td>6.1 ± 3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of infertility (Primary)</td>
<td>33 (66%)</td>
<td>38 (76%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparison of cycle characteristics between the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ampoules of gonadotropins</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.51</td>
<td>3.12 ± 1.46</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean progesterone (ng/ml)</td>
<td>6.07 ± 10.51</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.640</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follicle number</td>
<td>2.32 ± 1.01</td>
<td>4.10 ± 1.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean serum LH (IU/L)</td>
<td>8.39 ± 3.48</td>
<td>5.31 ± 3.86</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature luteinisation</td>
<td>20 (40%)</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian hyperstimulation</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 4, it was observed that the mean total score of study group receiving cetrorelix was 10.18 and it was only 7.88 in the control group (P <0.001). During the periovulatory phase mean scores for endometrial blood flow in zone 3, pulsatility index, endometrial thickness and endometrial layering were significantly higher in the Study group. The mean scores of various ultrasound and Doppler parameters has also been depicted in graphical form in Figure 1.

Table 4: Comparison of uterine biophysical profile score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Endometrial pattern</th>
<th>Endometrial blood flow</th>
<th>UA PI</th>
<th>Endometrial thickness</th>
<th>Total uterine biophysical profile score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A (Control)</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.555</td>
<td>2.86 ± 1.818</td>
<td>2.3246 ± 0.79</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.626</td>
<td>7.88 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B (Study)</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0</td>
<td>4.16 ± 1.361</td>
<td>1.8752 ± 0.499</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.535</td>
<td>10.18 ± 1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pregnancy outcomes

Out of the thirteen pregnancies, 3 were among control group while 10 were in women receiving GnRH antagonist as discussed earlier. Unfortunately, one patient out of these 13 (from the control group) had missed abortion. Rest of them had successful pregnancies.

Analysis of total scores to predict chances of pregnancy

The total uterine biophysical profile score in the periovulatory phase was then analysed to predict the chances of pregnancy. None of the women with a score of <5 conceived. 43 women demonstrated a score between 6-10 out of whom 2 conceived. The most favorable chance of conception was with a score of 11-15 during the periovulatory phase. 11 women out of 55 having score 11-15 conceived. The chances of pregnancy with this score of 11-15 was 20% as shown in Table 5.
Table 5: Pregnancy distribution as per the periovulatory total biophysical score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biophysical profile score</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Pregnant</th>
<th>%Pregnant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Though this study showed several benefits of use of GnRH antagonist in stimulation protocols, it has to be admitted that overall cycles involving the use of GnRH antagonist were costlier. It was observed that in the group receiving GnRH antagonist approximately 3790 rupees was spent while in Control group only 1355 rupees was required. This difference was highly significant (P = 0.000).

DISCUSSION

Unexplained infertility is responsible for 10 to 15% cases of infertility. Some cases of unexplained infertility may result from defective uterine receptivity leading to implantation failure, therefore there is a need to assess the endometrium accurately for defects that could preclude implantation. Intruterine insemination (IUI) combined with Controlled Ovarian Stimulation (COS) is a widely practiced method in such cases and is a more cost effective approach when compared to IVF. Premature luteinization results in negative effect on endometrium and oocyte quality and hence has a deleterious effect on IUI cycles. GnRH analogues have been shown to lower the incidence of premature LH surge and thus improve IUI outcomes in several studies. GnRH antagonist is unique in its properties as it does not have the flare effect seen with GnRH agonist. Hence, it is more physiological and can improve the success rate of COS-IUI cycles.

The purpose of this preliminary study was to determine if the inclusion of a GnRH antagonist (cetrorelix) in minimal ovarian stimulation (MOS) with clomiphene citrate and gonadotropins for IUI could significantly increase the number of mature follicles and, by extension, improve pregnancy rates, since these two parameters are known to be positively correlated. The rationale for this hypothesis was based on the capacity of the GnRH antagonist to rapidly inhibit LH release by the gonadotrophs and thereby control and avoid premature luteinization. As data from literature about different endometrial parameters was controversial, a score that takes into account various parameters rather than a single one was considered appropriate and hence we used the ‘uterine biophysical profile score’ devised by Applebaum. The hypothesis was that a non-receptive endometrium may have a role to play in cases of unexplained infertility and the uterine biophysical profile could be a rapid, non-invasive and simple method to assess the receptive state of the endometrium. Moreover it was proposed to study the effect of GnRH antagonist on these parameters and hence its role in improving the endometrial milieu. In the present study, the average follicular size in group A was 17.98 ± 2.14 mm while in group B it was 17.52 ± 2.02 mm, the difference between the two groups being statistically insignificant. However, the number of follicles per cycle was higher in group B (4.10 ± 1.69) as compared to group A (2.32 ± 1.01), the difference being highly significant statistically (p value <0.001). This is in agreement with the observations made by Gomez-Palomares et al., the follicle number being 2.4 ± 1.4 in GnRH antagonist group and 1.7 ± 1.2 in the control group in their study. However, Checa et al. observed no significant difference was found in number of follicles on administration of GnRH antagonist. The analysis of the results demonstrated that the score for all the individual parameters of “uterine biophysical profile” were higher in group receiving GnRH antagonist. In the present study we studied various uterine parameters that affect the implantation and pregnancy rates and the changes observed in these parameters after administration of GnRH antagonist (cetrorelix). Results revealed that during the periovulatory phase, significantly more number of women in the cetrorelix group had higher endometrial thickness score of ≥2 (46 versus 29 in the control group). This observation is further supported by a prospective randomized trial conducted in 2006 by Checa et al. In their study they found that cetrorelix significantly results in a thicker endometrium with an endometrial thickness of 9.9 ± 1.88 mm as compared to 8.6 ± 0.5 mm in the control group (P value = 0.001).

The triple line appearance of the endometrium at the time of ovulation, because of luminal stromal density, has been described by authors as a prognostic factor for pregnancy in gonadotropin stimulated cycles. The present study showed a statistically significant difference between the endometrial pattern score between the two groups as all women who received cetrorelix had a well-defined distinct 5 line appearance (trilaminar pattern) whereas in the control group 20% women only had hazy trilaminar pattern or absolutely no layering.

Most investigators agree that a higher degree of endometrial perfusion shown by color and power Doppler indicated a receptive state of the endometrium. In the present study endometrial blood flow was multifocal in 72% women in the study group whereas in the control group, only 38% women had multifocal blood flow (P = 0.001). Edi Osagie et al in their multiparametric approach concluded that women with unexplained infertility had a consistent impairment of endometrial perfusion in all phases of the cycle. Till date, to the best of our knowledge there has been no controlled randomized study to assess the effect of GnRH antagonist on endometrial blood flow. However, several investigators have studied the role of endometrial blood flow in determining the outcome of ART. Chein et al. and Wu et al. investigated the correlation of blood flow detected by color Doppler sonography in endometrial - subendometrial unit with pregnancy outcome of IVF and demonstrated that the presence of
both endometrial and subendometrial flow is indicative of good endometrial receptivity.

As far as the role of GnRH antagonist in improving chances of conception goes, literature has conflicting statements. In the present study 13 women became pregnant. Out of these 13, three (6%) conceived following conventional minimal stimulation protocol (clomiphene citrate + gonadotropins) and IUI whereas 10 (20%) conceived from the study group in which GnRH antagonist was added from 14 mm follicle size onwards. Similar results were achieved by Gomez-Palomares et al.\textsuperscript{11} and Allegría et al.\textsuperscript{16} in IUI cycles with conventional stimulation protocol using gonadotropins. In comparison Lambalk et al.\textsuperscript{17} observed similar outcomes in terms of pregnancy rates in both the treatment and control groups (12.6% versus 12%). A recent meta-analysis in 2008\textsuperscript{18} compared the clinical outcome in COS-IUI cycles using GnRH antagonist and it was concluded that pregnancy rate was improved with the use of GnRH antagonist as it decreases premature luteinization and allows for follicle growth. On compiling all the scores of individual parameters we computed a total biophysical profile score. It was seen in the present study that 66% women who were administered GnRH antagonist had a favorable score (11-15) whereas in the control group it was only in 18% cases. Thus, it was concluded that there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups in uterine score (P <0.001). As far as literature goes, no study demonstrating the effect of GnRH antagonist on ‘uterine biophysical profile score’ could be traced.

Hence, as suggested by Applebaum,\textsuperscript{5} the uterine biophysical profile can be used for making clinical decisions in women with unexplained infertility. In our knowledge this study is first of its kind to study systematically the effect of addition of GnRH antagonist to the ovarian stimulation cycles with clomiphene citrate on uterine biophysical profile score. This study further substantiates the fact that women who receive GnRH antagonist have decreased premature luteinization and improved chances of conception. Moreover, it was seen in the study that all the individual parameters as well as the total biophysical profile score was improved by the use of GnRH antagonist in COS-IUI cycles in women with unexplained infertility. The prediction of uterine receptivity based on the uterine biophysical profile was also attempted with the periovulatory total score emerging as an important predictor of the chances of getting pregnant. In future larger studies are required to further explore the effects of GnRH antagonist on various parameters of endometrial receptivity.
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