Reproductive and sexual health needs among differently abled individuals in the rural field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka, India

Devaraj P Patage, Sharankumar Holyachi, Bheemayya Badesab, Ayesha Nawaz, Kiran Shankar

Department of Community Medicine, SS Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Davangere, Karnataka, India. Correspondence to: Sharankumar Holyachi, E-mail: sharan_holyachi@yahoo.com

Received February 5, 2015. Accepted February 13, 2015

Abstract

Background: Individuals with disability have to face multiple physical and attitudinal barriers to seek reproductive health services. Hence this study intends to bring out reproductive health needs of individuals with disability, which will help future policy or program formulation.

Objective: To know the reproductive and sexual health needs among differently abled individuals in the field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka, India.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional study was carried out in rural field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka, India. By conducting house-to-house visit, all the selected differently abled individuals were interviewed after obtaining written and informed consent from the individuals, parents and/or guardians, using a predesigned questionnaire. Data were entered and analyzed using Epi Info, version 4.0.

Results: Only 19.2% of the study population were married. More than 67.17% were not sexually active. Only 4.0% reported STI/RTI. About 38.67% were still using cloth during menstruation. Only 7.9% used contraceptives.

Conclusion: Differently abled individuals have the same reproductive and sexual health needs as their normal counterparts. They must have the same access as everyone else to the health services, and resources that support them in their decisions.

KEY WORDS: Disability, reproductive-and-sexual health needs, rural area

Introduction

Based on the 2010 population estimates, over a billion people (or about 15% of the world's population) are estimated to be living with disability^[1, 2] and their sexual and reproductive health (SRH) has been neglected. About 30% of families live with a family member who has a disability.^[3]

Access this article online		
Website: http://www.ijmsph.com	Quick Response Code:	
DOI: 10.5455/ijmsph.2015.05022015198		

In India, the prevalence of disability is estimated to range from 2.1% to 2.21%.^[4–6] It is estimated that the prevalence of disability in Karnataka is about 2.02%.^[7]

Adolescents and adults with disabilities are as likely as persons without disabilities to be sexually active.^[8] Women with paralysis, impaired motor function or obvious physical disability were rarely offered contraceptive methods or information.^[9] Of equal concern is the fact that in many places, women with disabilities are routinely turned away from such services should they seek help, often also being told that they should not be pregnant, or are scolded because they have decided to have a child.^[10]

In India, women with very minor physical disabilities do not receive reproductive health services because they are considered to have no marriage prospects.^[11] Many have been subjected to forced sterilizations, forced abortions, or forced

International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health Online 2015. © 2015 Sharankumar Holyachi. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

Table 1: Demographic details of the study population

Parameter	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Female	75	37.9
Male	123	62.1
Age (in years)		
≤20	21	10.6
21–30	115	58.1
31–40	34	17.2
41–49	28	14.1
Total	198	100.0

 Table 2: Distribution of the study population according to type of disability

Type of disability	Responses	
(<i>N</i> =198)	Frequency	Percentage
Blind	21	10.34
Deaf	17	8.11
Dumb	16	7.72
Mental retardation	20	9.58
Physically handicapped	123	59.42
Others	10	4.83
Total	207	100.0

marriages.^[12] Girls as young as 9 years old have been sterilized for reasons that include the elimination of menstruation and the prevention of pregnancy.^[13–15]

There is limited literature available on SRH needs among differently abled persons in India especially in rural areas. Hence this study intends to know the SRH needs and the factors influencing the same among differently abled individuals in the field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka, India.

Materials and Methods

The list and information such as address and phone numbers of all differently abled individuals residing in Davangere district were obtained from the Department for Empowerment of Disabled and Old age, Davangere.[16] After an initial analysis, 198 differently abled individuals, aged 18-45 years, were found to be residing in the rural field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka, India. Ethical clearance to conduct the study was obtained from the Institutional Ethics and Review Board (IERB). This cross-sectional study was conducted from September to October 2014. After obtaining written and informed consent from the individuals and/or guardians, information concerning the type of disability, SRH, etc., was obtained using a predesigned questionnaire. In case individuals were unable to comprehend to the questionnaire and give valid information (because of various disabilities), data were collected from parents,

 Table 3: Marital status of the study population and reasons for being unmarried

Marital status	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	38	19.2
No – disability	94	47.5
No – economical	17	8.6
No – both	49	24.7
Total	198	100.0

Table 4: Sexually activity status of the study population

Sexual active	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	38	19.19
No	133	67.17
No response	27	13.64
Total	198	100.0

 Table 5: Prevalence of sexual/reproductive transmitted infections

 (STI/RTI) among the study population

STI/RTI	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	8	4.0
No	163	83.0
No response	27	13.0
Total	198	100.0

guardians, or any other reliable informants present at the time of interview.

Statistics

Data were entered and analyzed using Epi Info, version 4.0. Descriptive statistics such as mean, median, and standard deviation were employed to summarize the quantitative data such as age, monthly income, etc. Proportions were computed for qualitative parameters such as type of disability, and dependency.

Result

A total of 198 individuals with disability were identified in the rural field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka. A majority of them (62.1%) were male individuals, and more than half (58.1%) were in the age group of 21–30 years [Table 1].

As shown in Table 2, among the 198 individuals, a total of 207 disabilities were identified (taking into consideration the possibility of some individuals having more than one disability). A majority of the study population was having physical disability (59.42%), followed by blindness (10.34%).

In terms of the marital status, only 19.2% of the study population was married and when asked about reasons for not being married, a majority of them attributed it to the disability itself (47.5%), others (8.6%) to financial constraints, and the remaining (24.7%) to both the reasons [Table 3].

965

Table 6: Contraceptive use among sexually active women

Contraceptive use	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	3	7.90
No	23	60.53
No response	12	31.57
Total	38	100.0

Table 7: Sanitary napkin use among the female study population

Sanitary napkin use	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	41	54.67
No (use cloth)	29	38.67
Menopause	5	6.66
Total	75	100.0

Table 4 shows the data on the sexual activity among the study population; a majority (67.17%) of the individuals revealed not having any sexual exposure in their lifetime. The prevalence of RTI/STI was found to be low (4.0%) in the study population and about 13% declined to give any response to these questions [Table 5]. Among those reporting to be sexually active, only 7.9% were using any of the available contraceptive techniques and more than half (60.53%) were nonusers [Table 6].

The female study population was enquired about menstrual hygiene. Among the 75 women with disability, though a good number (54.67%) were using sanitary napkins, more than one-third (38.67%) were still using cloth during menstruation [Table 7].

Discussion

Despite the magnitude of the issue, both awareness of and scientific information on disability are lacking. There are a few documents providing a compilation and analysis of the ways countries have developed policies and responses to address the needs of people with disabilities.^[1] Hence, in this article, discussion is presented with reference to studies carried out on both general population and differently abled individuals where information is available.

A total of 198 individuals with disability were identified in the rural field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka, India. A majority (62.1 %) of them were male individuals and more than half (58.1%) were in the age group of 21-30 years.

Type of disability

Among the 198 individuals a total of 207 disabilities were identified (taking into consideration the possibility of some individuals having more than one disability). A majority of the study population were having physical disability (59.42%), followed by blindness (10.34%). This is in contrast to the findings in the Census 2001^[4] and 2011^[5], where blindness is reported as the major type of disability. This indicates that only individuals with physical disability have been able to register and seek assistance in the Department for Empowerment of

Disabled and Old age, Davangere. Hence, a vast majority of individuals with other types of disabilities are still not being covered and remain unattended in the development and rehabilitation activities.

Marital status

Only 19.2% of the study population was married, and when asked about reasons for not being married, a majority of them attributed it to the disability itself (47.5%), others to financial constraints (8.6%), and the remaining (24.7%) to both the reasons. Similar findings and reasons were reported by Lang^[17] in a study among south Indian population. Hence sexual-and-reproductive rehabilitation of the differently abled still remains a mirage because of the misconceptions both among differently abled and the general population about their (differently abled individuals') capacity to have a normal reproductive life.

Sexual activity

Though individuals with disability are known to be as sexually active as persons without disability, many of them are denied the privilege of reproductive and sexual health. In this study, a majority of the study population reported not having any sexual activity. In the South India Disability Evidence (SIDE) study conducted by Murthy et al.^[18], a significantly lower proportion of women with disability experienced pregnancy (36.8%) compared to the women without any disability. A higher proportion of women without a disability (7.7%) compared to women with some disability (5.3%) reported a successful pregnancy in the past 2 years.

Reproductive tract infections or sexually transmitted infections (RTI/STI)

Previous studies among general population report RTI/ STI to be still highly prevalent in Indian communities.[19-23] The RTI/STI though still prevalent in Indian communities, a large majority of these go unreported because of the stigma and ignorance regarding their aetiology and management. The individuals with disability have an added disadvantage of disability and hence face denial and discrimination in reporting and seeking medical care for STI/RTI's.

Contraceptive use

Among those reporting to be sexually active, only 7.9% were using any of the available contraceptive techniques and more than half (60.53%) were nonusers. In the study conducted by Olajide et al.^[24] in Nigeria, only 34% of sexually experienced respondents had used a modern contraceptive method. The male condom was the most commonly used contraceptive. In the studies conducted in general population,[25-28] the contraceptive use was found to range from 45% to 60%.

Sanitary napkin use

Among the 75 female study population, though a good number of them (54.67%) were using sanitary napkins, more than one-third (38.67%) were still using cloth during menstruation. In a previous study by Nair et al. ^[29] a majority (74.8%) of the girls used homemade sanitary pads, approximately 24% used ready-made sanitary pads, whereas the remaining 1.5% used cotton wool.

Limitations of the study

This study was conducted in the rural field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka in India. A comparative study to understand the rural–urban differences in SRH needs can throw more light on the unmet needs among differently abled individuals.

Conclusion

Although the full picture of SRH issues for individuals with disabilities is not yet clear, it is certain that there are significant unmet needs. Like everyone else, persons with disabilities need information about SRH. To do so, they must have the same access as everyone else to programs, services, and resources that support them in their decisions.

Recommendations

As scientific literature on the SRH needs among differently abled persons is scarce, especially among rural areas, information from multi-centric studies focussing on the need assessment can be helpful for future program and policy formulation.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. *World Report on Disability 2011*. Malta: World Health Organization, 2011.
- United Nations. Fact Sheet: Some Facts about Persons with Disabilities. [Online], 2006. Available at: URL:http://www.un.org/ disabilities/convention/pdfs/factsheet.pdf (last accessed on September 2014).
- United Nations. Disability Statistics Compendium, Statistics on Special Population Groups: Series Y: No. 4. New York, NY: United Nations, 1990.
- Registrar General of India. *Census 2001*. [Online], 2001. Available at: URL:http://censusindia.gov.in/Census_And_You/ disabled_population.aspx (last accessed on August 2014).
- Registrar General of India. *Census 2011*. [Online], 2011. Available at: URL:http://www.languageinindia.com/jan2014/disabilityinindia2011data.pdf (last access on January 2015).
- Annual Report 2012–2013. Office of the Chief Commissioner for persons with disabilities. Department of Disability Affairs. Ministry of social justice and empowerment. Government of India, New Delhi.
- Pati RR. Prevalence and pattern of disability in a rural community in Karnataka. Indian J Community Med 2004;29(4):10–12.
- 8. World Bank. *HIV/AIDS and Disability: Capturing Hidden Voices: Report of the World Bank/Yale University Global Survey on HIV/ AIDS and Disability.* Washington, DC: World Bank, 2004.
- 9. Haefner et al. Contraception in women with special needs. 24 Comp. Ther. 1998;238.
- 10. Maxwell J, Belser JW, David D. *A Health Handbook for Women with Disabilities*. Berkley, CA: Hesperian Foundation, 2007.

- UNFPA. Emerging Issues: Sexual and Reproductive Health of Persons with Disabilities. New York, NY: UNFPA, 2007.
- Arcella S, Curado L, Edwards M, Fingleton M, Veena Kasat, Lucas CG et al. A situational analysis of the sexual and reproductive health of women with disabilities; 2013.
- World Health Organization. Promoting Sexual and Reproductive Health for Persons with Disabilities. WHO/UNFPA Guidance Note. World Health Organization, Geneva, 2009.
- 14. United Nations Population Fund. *Sexual and reproductive health of persons with disabilities. Emerging issues.* United Nations Population Fund, New York, USA.
- 15. Frohmader C. Dehumanised: the forced sterilisation of women and girls with disabilities in Australia. WWDA submission to the senate inquiry into the involuntary or coerced sterilisation of people with disabilities in Australia. Women With Disabilities Australia (WWDA). 2013.
- Personal Communication & Information from Dr. Sridhar, District Disability Officer, Davangere, 577005.
- Lang R. Understanding disability from a south Indian perspective. School of Development Studies, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR47TJ. United Kingdom. Paper presented at the 14th Annual Meeting of the Disability Studies Association June, 2001; Winnipeg, Canada.
- Murthy GVS, John N, Sagar J. Reproductive health of women with and without disabilities in South India, the SIDE study (South India Disability Evidence) study: a case control Study. BMC Women's Health 2014;14:146. Available at: URL:http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6874/14/146
- Kannan C, Athmaraman TN, Nayeem A, Sangeetha S, Sudha R, Ponsuganthi K, et al. Prevalence of reproductive tract infections among recently married women in Veerapandi panchayat union of Salem District, Tamil Nadu. Short article. Indian J Community Med 2007;32(2):144–145.
- Sharma S, Gupta BP. The prevalence of reproductive tract infections and sexually transmitted diseases among married women in the reproductive age group in a rural area. Indian J Community Med 2009;34(1); 62–64.
- Jindal N, Aggarwal A, Gill P, Sabharwal B, Shreevani BB. Community-based study of reproductive tract infections, including sexually transmitted infections, among the rural population of Punjab, India. Short article. Indian J Community Med 2009;34(4):359–361.
- Samanta A, Ghosh S, Mukherjee S. Prevalence and health-seeking behavior of reproductive tract infection/sexually transmitted infections symptomatics: a cross-sectional study of a rural community in the Hooghly District of West Bengal. Short communication. Indian J Public Health 2011; 55(1):38–41.
- Balamurugan SS, Bendigeri ND. Community-based study of reproductive tract infections among women of the reproductive age group in the urban health training centre area in Hubli, Karnataka. Indian J Community Med 2012;37(1):34–38.
- Olajide FO, Omisore AG, Arije OO et al. Awareness and use of modern contraceptives among physically challenged in-school adolescents in Osun state, Nigeria. Afr J Reprod Health 2014;18(2):87–96.
- Bhasin SK, Pant M, Metha M, Kumar S. Prevalence of usage of different contraceptive methods in east Delhi– a cross sectional study. Indian J Community Med 2005; 30(2):53–55.
- 26. Kansal RAC, Kandpal SD, Negi KS. Epidemiological correlates of contraceptive prevalence in rural population

of Dehradun District. Indian J Community Med 2005; 30(2):60-62.

- Chaurasia AR. Contraceptive use in India: a data mining approach. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. International Journal of Population Research. Volume 2014, Article ID 821436, 11 pages. [Online]. 2014. Available at: URL:http://www. hindawi.com/journals/ijpr/2014/821436/ (last access in January 2015).
- Rai RK, Unisa S. Dynamics of contraceptive use in India: Apprehension versus future intention among nonusers and traditional method users. Sex Reprod Health 2013;4(2): 65–72.
- Nair P, Grover VL, Kannan AT. Awareness and practices of menstruation and pubertal changes amongst unmarried female adolescents in a rural area of east Delhi. Indian J Community Med. Letter to the editor 2007;32(2):156–157.

How to cite this article: Patage DP, Holyachi S, Badesab B, Nawaz A, Shankar K. Reproductive and sexual health needs among differently abled individuals in the rural field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka, India. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2015;4:964-968

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.