ADENOMATOID ODONTOGENIC TUMOR OF MANDIBLE MIMICKING AMELOBLASTOMA: A DIAGNOSTIC CHALLENGE
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ABSTRACT

Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor is an uncommon benign Hamartomatous lesion of odontogenic origin, which affects young individuals, with female predilection and mainly occurring in second decade of life. Maxillary anterior region is most often involved, and associated with unerupted or impacted canine. We report a rare case of treated multilocular adenomatoid odontogenic tumor of posterior mandible in a 31 year old female patient.
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INTRODUCTION

Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor (AOT) is a benign odontogenic lesion hypothesized develops from the enamel organ, dental lamina, reduced enamel epithelium or their remnants. It was first described by Dreibaldtin in 1907 as a Psuedo-adenoameloblastoma.¹,² Harbitz in 1915 reported AOT as cystic adamantoma.³ In 1948 Stafne considered it a distinct entity, but it was classified by others as a variant of ameloblastoma. The lesion is known by many names, including adenoameloblastoma, adenoameloblastic odontoma, and epithelial tumour associated with developmental cysts, ameloblastic adenomatoid tumour, adenomatoid or pseudo-adenomatous ameloblastoma, and teratomatous odontoma.⁴ The term AOT was proposed by Philipsen and Birn in 1969, was suggested that it is not to be regarded as a variant of ameloblastoma because of its different behaviour. In 1971 the term AOT was adopted in the initial edition of WHO histological typing of odontogenic tumors jaw cysts and allied lesions.⁴

WHO described the histological features of the tumor as follows: “A tumor of odontogenic epithelium with duct like structures and with varying degree of inducive changes in the connective tissue. The tumor may be partly cystic and in some cases the solid lesion may be present only as masses in the wall of a large cyst.¹,² It is generally believed that the lesion is not a neoplasm”. AOT accounts for 2.2 to 7.1 % of all odontogenic tumors and ranks for 4th or 5th among the odontogenic tumors. It is generally believed to be a hamartoma rather than a neoplasm.¹,²

CASE REPORT

A 31 year old female patient presented with a chief complaint of swelling on her right lower one third of face since 1 year. Patient was asymptomatic 1 year back then she noticed a swelling on her right lower one third of face, which was initially small in size and gradually increased to present day size, with no history of pain, discharge and trauma. Patient gave a history of extraction of her lower right back tooth 3 years back. On extraoral examination a solitary diffuse swelling was seen on her right lower one third of face, which is roughly oval to dome shape, measuring about 5×4cm in size, extending antero-posteriorly 2cm below the chin to angle of mandible, superiorly from the lower border of the mandible to inferiorly 5 cm below the lower border of mandible, surface over the swelling was smooth and skin over the swelling was stretched. On palpation it was mild tender, firm in consistency, compressible, non reducible with lo-
cal rise of temperature [Figure 1]. On intraoral examination buccal and lingual vestibular tenderness in relation to 45-48 was noted with buccal vestibular obliteration in relation to 46, 47, 48 region [Figure 2]. Orthopantomograph was taken which revealed single well-defined multilocular radiolucency measuring about 6.5x6cm in size, extending anterio-posteriorly from mesial aspect of 45 to 1cm below sigmoid notch, superior-inferiorly 1.5cm above right alveolar ridge to 2cm below the lower border of the mandible with thinning of inferior border of mandible [Figure 3] CT-scan revealed a well-defined multiple radiolucent area with well-defined radiopaque border on right side of mandible with buccal and lingual cortical plate expansion [Figure 4]. Based on history, clinical and radiographic examination a provisional diagnosis of ameloblastoma on right body and ramus of mandible was given.

Complete Surgical excision of the lesion was done under general anaesthesia [Figure 5]. Excised specimen was sent to histopathological examination which revealed epithelial and connective tissue components, solid nodules of epithelium arranged in the form of whorles which are cuboidal to columnar in nature. Some duct like spaces are noted with eosinophilic material and cords of the epithelium extending into the stroma. Cribriform pattern like tumor cell strands are also noted which are filled by dysplastic dentine/ amorphous eosinophilic like material. In addition connective tissue stroma showed odontogenic cell rests, proliferating blood vessels, large areas of haemorrhagic and few inflammatory cells. Bony trabeculae and surface epithelium are also noted [Figure 6: A, B]. Based on clinical, radiographic, and histopathological examination a final diagnosis of Adenomatoid Odontogenic tumour of Right body and ramus of mandible was given. Patient is under follow-up since 1 year without any recurrence [Figure 7].

**DISCUSSION**

Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour is a benign, non-invasive odontogenic lesion, with a predilection for the anterior maxilla (ratio of cases 2:1 relative to mandible) of young females. Anodontogenic tumours, are less frequent than odontoma, cementoma, myxoma and ameloblastoma. It has been suggested that this tumor may be a hamartoma rather than a true neoplasm, but there is currently no evidence to resolve this dispute. For cases in which the lesion appears to surround an unerupted tooth and has no radiopaque component, dentigerous cyst may also be considered in the differential diagnosis. AOT often appears to envelop the crown as well as the root, whereas dentigerous cysts do not envelop the roots. The origin of AOTs is controversial. Because of its exclusive occurrence within the tooth bearing areas of jaws (most closely associates with an unerupted or impacted tooth) and its resemblance to the dental lamina, reduced enamel epithelium, enamel organ and or their remnants there is an agreement that the AOT is of odontogenic origin.

The histological appearance of all variants is identical and exhibits a remarkable consistency. At low magnification most striking pattern is that of various sizes of solid nodules of columnar or cuboidal epithelial cells forming nests or rosette-like structures with minimal stromal connective tissue. Between the epithelial cells of the nodules and in the centre of the rosette-like configuration is found eosinophilic amorphous material, often described as tumour deposits. Conspicuous within the cellular areas are structures of tubular or duct-like appearance. A third characteristic cellular pattern consists of nodules of polyhedral, eosinophilic epithelial cells with squamous appearance and exhibiting well-defined cell boundaries and prominent intracellular bridges, islands may contain pools of amorphous amyloid-like material and globular masses of calcified material (thus the suggestion of a combination of calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumour and AOT). Another epithelial pattern has a trabecular or cribriform configuration. Ultra structurally, tumour epithelial cell types have been recognized, corresponding to the types that are evident on light microscopy. Immuno-
histochemical studies of the lesion suggest expression of keratin and vimentin in the tumour cells at the periphery of the ductal, tubular or whorled structures. As all variants of AOT reveal an entirely benign biologic behaviour and are well encapsulated, conservative surgical enucleation or curettage has proven to be the treatment of choice. Recurrence has been reported in very few cases. In present case complete surgical excision was done under general anaesthesia and patient is under follow up since one year without any recurrence.

CONCLUSION

Our case report supports the general description of adenomatoid odontogenic tumor as in the previous studies except the multilocular variant mimicking ameloblastoma. So we conclude that the rarity of adenomatoid odontogenic tumor may be associated with its slowly growing pattern and symptomless behavior. Therefore, it should be distinguished from more common lesions of odontogenic origin.
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Figure 1: Extraoral Photograph

Figure 2: Intraoral Photograph
Figure 3: Orthopantomogram revealed single well-defined multilocular radiolucency.

Figure 4: coronal view CT SCAN.

Figure 5: Excised Specimen.

Figure 6: Histopathology Pictures: A. 10X Eosinophilic Tumor Droplets B. 40X Single Rosette.

Figure 7: Post Operative OPG.