TRAUMATIC RECTAL PERFORATION MANAGED BY SIMPLE CLOSURE WITHOUT COLOSTOMY
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ABSTRACT

Generally traumatic rectal perforations are managed by doing diverting colostomy along with simple closure. Here we are reporting a case of traumatic rectal perforation which is managed by doing simple closure alone without diverting colostomy. About 95% of rectal injuries are due to penetrating injuries. Rectal injuries due to blunt trauma are rare but have more disastrous outcome. Extraperitoneal perforations of the lower part of the rectum are usually due to blunt injury and access to the area of perforation and closure is extremely tough. Hence extraperitoneal perforation has a bad prognosis and always requires diverting colostomy. Large intraperitoneal perforations of the upper part of the rectum involving the anterior wall are commonly associated with concomitant injuries and always require diverting colostomy. Patients presenting late are usually associated with severe peritoneal contamination and have bad prognosis and always require diverting colostomy. But small intraperitoneal perforations of the posterior wall of the upper part of the rectum are not commonly associated with concomitant injuries like in the patient reported below and do not require diverting colostomy, if the patient presents early without serious peritoneal contamination.
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INTRODUCTION

Rectal perforation is generally managed by doing diverting colostomy along with closure of perforation [1-9]. But so far there have been very few reports of managing rectal perforation by doing only simple closure without colostomy. Hence a case of rectal perforation managed by doing only simple closure without colostomy is reported here.

CASE REPORT

A thirteen year old boy had an accidental penetrating injury to his rectum by a wooden stick and presented within 18 hours of his injury with signs of generalized peritonitis. X-ray chest showed pneumoperitoneum (Fig-1). Laparotomy was done immediately and 250ml of pus was found in the peritoneal cavity. A single small 1x1cm intraperitoneal perforation was found in the posterior wall of upper part of rectum (Fig-2) and was closed with single layer of interrupted 3.0 silk. The patient recovered uneventfully.

DISCUSSION

Perforation of the lower part of the rectum (extraperitoneal perforation) is extremely difficult to access by laparotomy and hence closure is extremely tough. But perforation in this patient was intraperitoneal lying at the upper most part of rectum and closure could be done easily. In addition, our patient presented within 18 hours of injury and there was no faecal contamination of peritoneal cavity. Hence a diverting colostomy was not done. Patients with rectal perforation presenting early have good prognosis. But patients presenting late...
are usually associated with very severe and serious peritoneal contamination and have bad prognosis [9] and always require diverting colostomy. About 95% of rectal injuries are due to penetrating injuries [5]. Rectal injuries due to blunt trauma are rare [5, 8] but have more disastrous outcome [5]. Extraperitoneal perforations occurring in the lower part of the rectum are usually due to blunt injury [5, 8, 10], commonly associated with fracture of pelvic bones [5, 8, 10] and sepsis of perirectal tissues [5, 10] and pelvic abscess [10]. Access to the area of perforation and closure is extremely tough while doing laparotomy. Hence extraperitoneal perforation has a bad prognosis and always requires diverting colostomy [5]. Large intraperitoneal perforations of anterior wall of the upper part of the rectum are commonly associated with concomitant injuries to urinary bladder [2], seminal vesicles [3], uterus, small bowel mesentery [11], sigmoid mesocolon [3,11] etc. and sometimes even evisceration of the small bowel [4,12] and always require diverting colostomy.

**CONCLUSION**
Small intraperitoneal perforations of the posterior wall of the upper part of the rectum are not commonly associated with concomitant injuries like in this patient and do not require diverting colostomy, if the patient presents early without serious peritoneal contamination. But all extraperitoneal perforations, large intraperitoneal perforations of the anterior wall associated with concomitant injuries and patients presenting late with very serious peritoneal contamination always require diverting colostomy along with closure of the perforation.

**Fig-1 Chest X-ray erect showing air under diaphragm**
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Fig-2. A single posterior perforation about 1x1 cm in size found in the upper part of rectum above the peritoneal reflection