The Study of Total Facial Index in Living Subjects in Gujarat Region
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Abstracts: Background and objectives: The total facial index exhibits sexual differences and different shapes of face. This information will be highly important for Plastic surgeons, Forensic Scientists, Anatomists, Human Biologists, Criminologists & Physical Anthropologists. Method: The present study was performed on 510 living subjects of Gujarat (243 male and 267 female) of 18 to 30 years of the year of 2011 with the objective to study the sex differences in total facial index. Total facial index was investigated with the help of face height and width with the use of sliding caliper. Result: The study showed that mean face height and width were higher in males than in females, and mean total facial index was also higher in males than in females. Interpretation and conclusion: Predominant face type in males was mesoproscopic while in females was euriproscopic and there was statistically highly significant gender difference. [Shah S et al NJIRM 2012; 3(4) : 95-97]
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Introduction: Anthropometric characteristics have direct relationship with sex, shape and form of an individual and these factors are intimately linked with each other and are manifestation of the internal structure and tissue components which in turn, are influenced by environmental and genetic factors. Measurement of total facial index is important for studies of human growth, population variation and aesthetic surgery. The importance of seeing the face ‘in proportions’ has been emphasized by many surgeons. All medical specialties interested in improving facial appearance need to measure the face to quantify the desired facial changes.

The most important facial dimensions are height and width (bizygomatic distance) of face that determine the total facial index. This is used by western and also by Indian researchers in their studies. Total facial index is calculated as Maximum face height / Maximum face width x 100. This provides a data base of craniofacial measurements useful for orofacial surgeons in craniofacial reconstruction.

In the present study, we have calculated total facial index in the persons from Gujarat.

Material and Methods: The present study has been carried out on a total of 510 (243 males & 267 females) living subjects in areas of Gujarat region. The permission from ethical committee and consent of subjects were taken. The subjects taken for study were medical students, students of other faculties, staffs, patient’s relatives from Sir T. Hospital, Bhavnagar and other persons belonging to different regions of Gujarat. The participants who volunteered in the study were healthy and without any obvious craniofacial abnormalities like congenital, developmental or acquired through any form of trauma and had no history of plastic or reconstructive surgery. The age group of 18-30 years was selected.

The method used for assessing the total facial index in this study is in accordance with Hooten’s. The subject was asked to sit in a chair in a relaxed position keeping the mouth closed and teeth in central occluded position and head in anatomical position. Measurements which have been taken are, face width (bizygomatic distance) & face height. All the measurements were taken with sliding caliper. Face width was taken on the zygomatic arches where they project most laterally. Face height was taken from nasion, the point just above the deepest depression of the nasal root in the median sagittal plane, to gnathion (menton), the point on the lower border of the mandible in the median sagittal plane.

Result: Study was done on 510 living subjects. The gender wise distribution of facial parameters and total facial index is shown in table-1.
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Table 1: Gender-wise distribution of facial parameters and total facial index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (cm) &amp; index</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M (243)</td>
<td>F (267)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face Width</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face Height</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Facial Index</td>
<td>89.86</td>
<td>87.06</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*p < 0.01 – Highly significant statistically, (M = Male, F = Female)

Table 1 shows the highly significant difference in face width and height in both sex, and also in total facial index. With the help of total facial index the study group is divided into different phenotypes of face. Based on this index, the types of face shapes are categorized according to Banister’s classification.\(^3\),\(^4\)

Hypereuriproscopic = up to 79.9 = very short faced
Euriproscopic = 80 – 84.9 = short/ broad faced
Mesoproscopic = 85 – 89.9 = moderate facial form
Leptoproscopic = 90 – 94.9 = long/ narrow faced
Hyperleptoproscopic >= 95 = very long narrow face

Table 2 shows the different face shapes according to total facial index. This shows in present study the mesoproscopic face shape is predominant in female as compared to male. In female, most predominant type is euriproscopic and in male is mesoproscopic. This difference is statistically highly significant.

**Discussion:** Racial and ethnic differences in craniofacial traits of various races have been reported by many researchers.\(^5\),\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^8\) One of the biggest comparative data on various ethnic groups/races in the world was published in 2005 by the late Professor Farkas.\(^5\) In present study, we found mesoproscopic (32.75%), euriproscopic (25.49%), leptoproscopic (24.31%), hyperleptoproscopic (11.96%) and hypereuriproscopic (5.49%) types of face (Table 2). The difference between both genders is statistically highly significant.

Table 2: Distribution of Total Facial Index (Face Shapes of Present Study)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypereuriproscopic</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euriproscopic</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoproscopic</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptoproscopic</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperleptoproscopic</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\chi^2 = 0.001\) (p < 0.01 – Highly significant statistically)

Garba SH, Numan AI, Mishara IG\(^7\) have found that the dominant type of face shape was the hypereuriproscopic type, which was dominant in Kanuri males (46.7%), Babur/Bura males (43%) and Babur/Bura females.

Golalipour, M.J., Haidari K., Jahanshahi M. & Farahani R.M.\(^3\) have found that the dominant and rare types of faces in Fars group were hypereuriproscopic (71.9%) and hyperleptoproscopic (2.5%) and in Turkman group were hypereuriproscopic (36.4%) and hyperleptoproscopic (0.9%) respectively.

Comparison of the facial anthropometric norms between the present study group and previous Indian studies\(^5\) and Malaysian Indians\(^6\) is shown in the following Table - 3.

The comparison of mean values of total facial indices between different studies and present study is done in Table – 4.

Table 3: Comparison of the facial anthropometric norms between previous Indian studies and Malaysian Indians and present study:
Parameter | Sex | Present study Mean cms. ± SD | Indian\(^5\) (Farkas’s study) Mean cms. ± SD | Malaysian Indians\(^6\) Mean cms. ± SD
---|---|---|---|---
Face width | M | 12.0905± 0.68775 | 13.58± 0.43 | 13.63± 0.48
F | 11.7054± 0.67121 | 12.49± 0.84 | 12.67± 0.39
Face height | M | 10.8412± 0.69449 | 11.55± 0.60 | 11.64± 0.47
F | 10.1681± 0.54597 | 10.15± 0.55 | 10.81± 0.42

(M = Male, F = Female)

### Table-4 Comparison of Total facial indices between different studies and present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workers</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Mean total facial index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ngeow W.C., Aljunid \(^6\) | Malaysian Indian | 100 | M | F
| Garba SH, Numan AI, Mishara IG \(^7\) | Maiduguri, Nigeria (Kanuri) (Bura) | 120 | Kanuri 83.77 Bura 80.74 | Kanuri 82.84 Bura 81.03
| Priyanka Singh and Ruma Purkait \(^8\) | Dangi and Ahirwar (Madhya Pradesh) | 245 | Dangi 108.0 Ahirwar 81.3 | Dangi 106.0 Ahirwar 81.3
| Present Study | Gujarati Population | 510 | 89.86 | 87.06

(M = Male, F = Female)

**Conclusion:** There is a highly significant difference found between either sex with values being higher for males than for females. Total facial index shows the statistically highly significant values for males as compared to females.

Distribution according to face shape, shows, in female the most predominant type is euriprosptic and in male is mesoprosptic. This difference is statistically highly significant. This study has been conducted on 510 subjects which is the limitation of this study. This study will be highly important for Plastic surgeons, Forensic Scientists, Anatoomists & Physical Anthropologists.
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